

THE DEGREE OF MONOTONE APPROXIMATION

by

R.K. Beatson

Department of Mathematics

University of Canterbury

November, 1977

This report is a self-contained part of the
authors forthcoming doctoral thesis.

THE DEGREE OF MONOTONE APPROXIMATION

§4.1 SUMMARY

Jackson type theorems are obtained for generalized monotone approximation. Let $E_{n,k}(f)$ be the degree of approximation of f by n th degree polynomials with k th derivative non-negative on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$. Then for each $k \geq 2$ there exists an absolute constant D_k , such that for all $f \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ with k th forward difference non-negative on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$;

$$E_{n,k}(f) \leq D_k \omega(f, n^{-1}).$$

If in addition $f' \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ then

$$E_{n,k}(f) \leq D_k n^{-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}).$$

Let $E_{n,2}^*(f)$ be the degree of approximation on $[-1, 1]$, of f , by n th degree polynomials convex on the whole real line. Then there exists a constant M such that for each f convex on $[-1, 1]$;

$$E_{n,2}^*(f) \leq M \omega(f, n^{-1}).$$

The results concerning $E_{n,k}$ are to appear in Beatson [1].

§4.2 INTRODUCTION

Let f be a function with non-negative k th forward difference over each set of k equally spaced points in $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ (equivalently any finite real interval). It is natural to ask whether Jackson type estimates hold for

$$E_{n,k}(f) = \inf_{\{p \in \Pi_n : p^{(k)}(x) \geq 0, x \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]\}} \|f - p\|_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]} ;$$

where the norm is the uniform norm, and Π_n is the space of algebraic polynomials of degree not exceeding n . In the case $k = 1$, Lorentz and Zeller [5] and Lorentz [6] have shown that there exists a constant D_1 such that if f is increasing on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$E_{n,1}(f) \leq D_1 \omega(f, n^{-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots, \quad (4.2.1)$$

where $\omega(f, \cdot)$ denotes the modulus of continuity of f . If, in addition $f' \in C[\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$ then

$$E_{n,1}(f) \leq D_1 n^{-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}), \quad n = 1, 2, \dots. \quad (4.2.2)$$

Let f be a function convex on $[-1, 1]$, and

$$E_{n,2}^*(f) = \inf_{\{p \in \Pi_n : p''(x) \geq 0, \forall x \in \mathbb{R}\}} \|f - p\|_{[-1,1]}.$$

The lowest order Jackson type estimate will be shown for $E_{n,2}^*$. Higher order Jackson type estimates for $E_{n,2}^*$, if they exist, would have immediate practical application. Combined with standard arguments they would yield results concerning uniform approximation by reciprocals of polynomials on semi-infinite or infinite intervals.

§4.3 TWO JACKSON TYPE ESTIMATES OF $E_{n,k}$.

DeVore [3,4] has given a much simpler proof of the $k = 1$ results. Partly similar arguments, are used in this section, to show Jackson estimates analogous to (4.2.1), (4.2.2) for $E_{n,k}$.

Notation. Throughout C_1, C_2, \dots denote positive constants depending on k , but not depending on f, x or $n \geq k$. Whenever it causes no confusion, $\|\cdot\|_\beta$ denotes $\|\cdot\|_{[-\beta, \beta]}$ and $\omega(e, \cdot)$ denotes $\omega_{[-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]}(e, \cdot)$.

A function with non-negative k th difference on $[a, b]$ cannot, in general, be extended to a function with non-negative k th difference on a larger interval. For example the piecewise linear and convex function, $f \in C[0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-3}]$, with slope n on the interval $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} i^{-3}, \sum_{i=1}^n i^{-3}\right)$, cannot be extended to the right and remain convex. This motivates the construction of a pre-approximation (see Lemma 4.1) to f , to which we will apply appropriate polynomial convolution operators (see Lemma 4.2).

LEMMA 4.1. Suppose $k \geq 2$. Let

$$L_n(h, x) = (2\lambda)^{-k} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \dots \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} h(x + t_1 + \dots + t_k) dt_1 \dots dt_k \quad (4.3.1)$$

where $h \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ and

$$\lambda = 1/8n, \quad n = k, k+1, \dots \quad (4.3.2)$$

Extend the definition of $L_n(h)$ from

$$[-\alpha, \alpha] = [-\frac{1}{2} + \frac{k}{8n}, \frac{1}{2} - \frac{k}{8n}] \quad (4.3.3)$$

to $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ by adjoining, to the right and left, the Taylor polynomials of degree k , corresponding to $L_n(h)$ at the points $\alpha, -\alpha$. Then there exists constants $E_k, F_k, G_k; \bar{E}_k, \bar{F}_k, \bar{G}_k$; such that; for all $f \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ with $f(-\frac{1}{2}) = f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$ and non-negative k th difference on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$; for $n = k, k+1, \dots$;

$$L_n(f, x)^{(k)} \geq 0, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.3.4)$$

$$\|L_n(f)^{(j)}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq E_k n^j \omega(f, n^{-1}) \quad (j = 1, \dots, k-1), \quad (4.3.5)$$

$$\|L_n(f)^{(k)}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq E_k n^k \omega(f, n^{-1}), \quad (4.3.6)$$

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq F_k \omega(f, n^{-1}), \quad (4.3.7)$$

and

$$\|L_n(f)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq G_k n \omega(f, n^{-1}). \quad (4.3.8)$$

If in addition $f' \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ then

$$\|L_n(f)^{(j)}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \bar{E}_k n^{j-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}) \quad (j = 2, \dots, k-1), \quad (4.3.5')$$

$$\|L_n(f)^{(k)}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \bar{E}_k n^{k-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}), \quad (4.3.6')$$

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \bar{F}_k n^{-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}), \quad (4.3.7')$$

and

$$\|L_n(f)^{(2-j)}\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \bar{G}_k n^j \omega(f', n^{-1}) \quad (j = 1, 2). \quad (4.3.8')$$

Proof. For $x \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$

$$L_n(f, x) = (2\lambda)^{-k} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \dots \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \int_{x+t_2+\dots+t_k-\lambda}^{x+t_2+\dots+t_k+\lambda} f(\gamma) d\gamma dt_2 \dots dt_k$$

implying

$$L_n(f, x)' = (2\lambda)^{-k} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \dots \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \Delta_{2\lambda} f(x+t_2+\dots+t_k-\lambda) dt_2 \dots dt_k;$$

repeating the argument, j times, $j = 1, \dots, k$,

$$L_n(f, x)^{(j)} = (2\lambda)^{-k} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \dots \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \Delta_{2\lambda}^j f(x + t_{j+1} + \dots + t_k - j\lambda) dt_{j+1} \dots dt_k. \quad (4.3.9)$$

(4.3.4) follows immediately. (4.3.9) and the definition of λ imply

$$\|L_n(f)^{(j)}\|_{\alpha} \leq C_1 n^j \omega(f, n^{-1}) \quad (j = 1, \dots, k). \quad (4.3.10)$$

(4.3.5), (4.3.6) follow from (4.3.10) on estimating the derivatives of the Taylor polynomials extending $L_n(f)$ to the larger interval.

To prove (4.3.7). The definition of $L_n(f, x)$ clearly implies

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_{\alpha} \leq C_2 \omega(f, n^{-1}). \quad (4.3.11)$$

Also

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_{[\alpha, \frac{1}{4}]} \leq \|f - f(\alpha)\|_{[\alpha, \frac{1}{4}]} + |f(\alpha) - L_n(f, \alpha)| + \|L_n(f, \alpha) - L_n(f)\|_{[\alpha, \frac{1}{4}]};$$

so by (4.3.2); (4.3.11); (4.3.5), (4.3.6); and the manner in which $L_n(f)$ was extended

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_{[\alpha, \frac{1}{4}]} \leq C_3 \omega(f, n^{-1}).$$

A similar result holds on $[-\frac{1}{4}, -\alpha]$; (4.3.7) follows.

To prove (4.3.8). Note that (4.3.7) implies both

$$\omega(L_n(f), n^{-1}) \leq C_4 \omega(f, n^{-1})$$

and

$$L_n(f, -\frac{1}{4}) \leq F_k \omega(f, n^{-1});$$

the second since $f(-\frac{1}{4}) = 0$; (4.3.8) follows.

We proceed to prove the results for $f' \in C[-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]$. Arguments analogous to those leading from (4.3.9) to (4.3.5), (4.3.6); lead from

$$L_n(f, x)^{(j)} = (2\lambda)^{-k} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \dots \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \Delta_{2\lambda}^{j-1} f'(x + t_j + \dots + t_k - (j-1)\lambda) dt_j \dots dt_k,$$

($j = 1, \dots, k$) to (4.3.5'), (4.3.6').

To show (4.3.7') use the quantitative Korovkin type estimate (see e.g. DeVore [3, pp28-32])

$$|L_n(f, x) - f(x)| \leq |f(x)| |1 - L_n(1, x)| + |f'(x)| |L_n((t-x), x)| \\ + (1 + \sqrt{L_n(1, x)}) \alpha_n(x) \omega(f'; \alpha_n(x)) \quad (4.3.12)$$

where

$$\alpha_n^2(x) = L_n((t-x)^2, x). \quad (4.3.13)$$

Now $\|1 - L_n(1)\| = \|L_n((t-x), x)\| = 0,$

while

$$L_n((t-x)^2, x) = (2\lambda)^{-k} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} \dots \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} (t_1 + t_2 + \dots + t_k)^2 dt_1 \dots dt_k \\ = k(2\lambda)^{-1} \int_{-\lambda}^{\lambda} t^2 dt \leq C_5 n^{-2}.$$

Substituting into (4.3.12), (4.3.13) we find

$$\|L_n(f) - f\|_{\alpha} \leq C_6 n^{-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}). \quad (4.3.11')$$

Since for this particular operator

$$L_n(f, x)' = L_n(f', x), \quad x \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$$

and $L_n(f, x)'$ is continued outside $[-\alpha, \alpha]$ by adjoining the Taylor polynomials of degree $k-1$, corresponding to f' , at either end point; reasoning, similar to that yielding (4.3.7), implies

$$\|f' - L_n(f)'\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_7 \omega(f', n^{-1}). \quad (4.3.14)$$

Writing

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_{[\alpha, \frac{1}{2}]} \leq |f(\alpha) - L_n(f, \alpha)| + \int_{\alpha}^{\frac{1}{2}} |f'(t) - L_n(f, t)'| dt;$$

(4.3.11'); (4.3.2) and (4.3.14) imply

$$\|f - L_n(f)\|_{[\alpha, \frac{1}{2}]} \leq C_8 n^{-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}).$$

Combining the above, the similar result on $[-\frac{1}{2}, -\alpha]$, and (4.3.11') proves (4.3.7').

To show (4.3.8'). Note (4.3.14) implies

$$\omega(L_n(f)', n^{-1}) \leq C_9 \omega(f', n^{-1})$$

and also

$$|L_n(f, \xi)'| \leq C_7 \omega(f', n^{-1}) \text{ where } f'(\xi) = 0, -\frac{1}{2} < \xi < \frac{1}{2},$$

the existence of such an ξ following from $f(-\frac{1}{2}) = f(\frac{1}{2}) = 0$.

Hence

$$\|L_n(f)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{10} n \omega(f', n^{-1}).$$

(4.3.8') follows since (4.3.7') implies

$$|L_n(f, -\frac{1}{2})| \leq \bar{F}_k n^{-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}). \quad //$$

We now know how well $L_n(f)$ approximates f , and concern ourselves with how well $L_n(f)$ may be approximated by convolutions with positive polynomials.

LEMMA 4.2. Suppose $k \geq 2$. Then there exist constants H_k, I_k and a sequence of even positive algebraic polynomials $\{\lambda_n\}_{n=k}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$\int_{-1}^1 \lambda_n(t) dt = 1, \quad (4.3.15)$$

and

$$\|\lambda_n^{(j)}\|_{[-1,1] \setminus [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]} \leq H_k n^{2-4k+2j} (\leq H_k n^{-2k}), \quad (j = 0, \dots, k-1). \quad (4.3.16)$$

Further if f satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.1, $g = L_n(f)$ and

$$L_n^*(g) = \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g(t) \lambda_n(t-x) dt; \quad (4.3.17)$$

then if $f \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$\|g - L_n^*(g)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq I_k \omega(f, n^{-1}); \quad (4.3.18)$$

and if $f' \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$

$$\|g - L_n^*(g)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq I_k n^{-1} \omega(f', n^{-1}). \quad (4.3.19)$$

Proof. Let $\lambda_k = \lambda_{k+1} = \dots = \lambda_{4k-1} \equiv \frac{1}{2}$.

For $n \geq 2k$, let

$$\lambda_{4n-4k}(t) = c_n [P_{2n}(t) / ((t^2 - x_{1,2n}^2) \dots (t^2 - x_{n,2n}^2))]^2, \quad (4.3.20)$$

where P_{2n} is the Legendre polynomial of degree $2n$ and $x_{1,2n}, \dots, x_{n,2n}$ are its positive zeros in increasing order. c_n is a normalizing

constant for (4.3.15). Define the remaining λ_n 's with the relation

$$\lambda_{4n+1} = \lambda_{4n+2} = \lambda_{4n+3} = \lambda_{4n}, \quad n \geq k.$$

Observe firstly that a theorem of Bruns (see e.g. DeVore [3, p.20]) implies

$$C_{11} n^{-1} \leq x_{1,2n} < \dots < x_{k,2n} \leq C_{12} n^{-1}, \quad n > k \quad (4.3.21)$$

Using the normalization $\|P_n\|_{[-1,1]} = 1$ and the corresponding Taylor expansion of P_n (see e.g. Davis [2, p.365]),

$$|P_{2n}(0)| = 2^{-2n} \binom{2n}{n} = (1 + o(1)) / \sqrt{\pi n}, \quad (4.3.22)$$

the last equality being a consequence of Stirling's formula.

(4.3.20), (4.3.21) and (4.3.22) together imply

$$\lambda_{4n-4k}(0) \geq C_{13} c_n n^{4k-1}, \quad n \geq 2k. \quad (4.3.23)$$

Let $n \geq 2k$. Write

$$1 = \int_{-1}^1 \lambda_{4n-4k}(t) dt = \sum_{k=-n}^n A_k(2n+1) \lambda_{4n-4k}(x_{k,2n+1});$$

where the $A_k(2n+1)$ are the weights of the Gaussian quadrature formula, exact for polynomials of degree $4n+1$, with nodes at the zeros of the Legendre polynomial of degree $2n+1$. Therefore

$$1 \geq A_0(2n+1) \lambda_{4n-4k}(0)$$

and since (Szegő [8, p.350]), $A_0(2n+1) = \frac{\pi}{2n+1} (1 + o(1))$

$$\lambda_{4n-4k}(0) \leq C_{14} n. \quad (4.3.24)$$

(4.3.23) and (4.3.24) imply

$$c_n \leq C_{15} n^{2-4k};$$

which together with the normalization of the P_n , the definition of the λ_n , and (4.3.21) implies

$$\|\lambda_n\|_{[-1,1] \setminus [-\frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{4}]} \leq C_{16} n^{2-4k}.$$

(4.3.16) follows by means of Markov's inequality.

It remains to show the order of approximation results.

We cannot use the standard quantitative Korovkin theorem as

$\omega_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(g, n^{-1}) \neq 0(\omega_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(f, n^{-1}))$; at least not in general. However a related method is applicable.

Again let $n \geq 2k$. The polynomial $t^{2k} \lambda_{4n-4k}(t)$ is of degree $4n - 2k$. Therefore for $j = 1, \dots, k$

$$M_j = \int_{-1}^1 t^{2j} \lambda_{4n-4k}(t) dt = 2 \sum_{i=1}^n x_{i,2n}^{2j} A_i(2n) \lambda_{4n-4k}(x_{i,2n}) ;$$

where the $A_i(2n)$ are the weights of the Gaussian quadrature formula, exact for polynomials of degree $4n - 1$, with nodes at the zeros of the Legendre polynomial of degree $2n$. Since λ_{4n-4k} has zeros at $x_{k+1,2n}, \dots, x_{n,2n}$,

$$M_j = 2 \sum_{i=1}^k x_{i,2n}^{2j} A_i(2n) \lambda_{4n-4k}(x_{i,2n}).$$

Since also λ_{4n-4k} has a local maximum on $[-x_{k+1,2n}, x_{k+1,2n}]$ at zero, and (Szegő [8, p.350])

$$A_i(2n) \leq \frac{\pi}{2n} (1 + o(1)) \quad (i = 1, \dots, k) ,$$

(4.3.21), (4.3.24) imply

$$\int_{-1}^1 t^{2j} \lambda_n(t) dt \leq C_{17} n^{-2j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k, \quad n \geq k. \quad (4.3.25)$$

(4.3.25), (4.3.16) and that $\lambda_n(t)$ is even and non-negative may be used to estimate certain quantities involving L_n^* . All the estimates are uniform in $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$.

$$0 \leq 1 - L_n^*(1, x) = \int_{-1}^1 \lambda_n(t) dt - \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-x}^{\frac{1}{2}-x} \lambda_n(t) dt \leq 2 \int_{\frac{1}{2}}^1 \lambda_n(t) dt \leq C_{18} n^{2-4k}. \quad (4.3.26)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq L_n^*((t-x)^{2j}, x) &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} (t-x)^{2j} \lambda_n(t-x) dt \\ &= \int_{-\frac{1}{2}-x}^{\frac{1}{2}-x} t^{2j} \lambda_n(t) dt \\ &\leq \int_{-1}^1 t^{2j} \lambda_n(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

and applying (4.3.25)

$$0 \leq L_n^*((t-x)^{2j}, x) \leq C_{19} n^{-2j}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k. \quad (4.3.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq L_n^*(|t-x|^k, x) &\leq \int_{-1}^1 |t|^k \lambda_n(t) dt \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-1}^1 t^{2k} \lambda_n(t) dt \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq C_{20} n^{-k}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.3.28)$$

where we have used the Schwartz inequality, (4.3.15) and (4.3.27).

For j odd,

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^*((t-x)^j, x)| &= \left| \int_{-1/2-x}^{1/2-x} t^j \lambda_n(t) dt \right| \\ &\leq 2 \int_{1/4}^1 t^j \lambda_n(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

since λ_n is even. Applying (4.3.16)

$$|L_n^*((t-x)^j, x)| \leq C_{21} n^{2-4k}, \quad j = 1, 3, 5, \dots \quad (4.3.29)$$

If $t \in [-1/2, 1/2]$ and $x \in [-1/2, 1/2]$, Taylor's theorem gives

$$g(t) = \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{g^{(j)}(x)(t-x)^j}{j!} \right) + \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \int_x^t g^{(k)}(u)(t-u)^{k-1} du. \quad (4.3.30)$$

Since the last term on the right hand side is bounded in modulus by $\frac{1}{k!} |t-x|^k \|g^{(k)}\|_{[-1/2, 1/2]}$, the linearity and monotonicity of L_n^* imply

$$\left| L_n^*(g, x) - \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{g^{(j)}(x)}{j!} L_n^*((t-x)^j, x) \right| \leq \frac{1}{k!} \|g^{(k)}\|_{[-1/2, 1/2]} L_n^*(|t-x|^k, x);$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} |L_n^*(g, x) - g(x)| &\leq |g(x)| |1 - L_n^*(1, x)| + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{|g^{(j)}(x)|}{j!} |L_n^*((t-x)^j, x)| \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k!} \|g^{(k)}\|_{[-1/2, 1/2]} L_n^*(|t-x|^k, x). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \|L_n^*(g, x) - g(x)\|_{[-1/4, 1/4]} &\leq \|g\|_{[-1/4, 1/4]} \|1 - L_n^*(1)\|_{[-1/4, 1/4]} \\ &\quad + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \frac{\|g^{(j)}\|_{[-1/4, 1/4]}}{j!} \|L_n^*((t-x)^j, x)\|_{[-1/4, 1/4]} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{k!} \|g^{(k)}\|_{[-1/2, 1/2]} \|L_n^*(|t-x|^k, x)\|_{[-1/4, 1/4]}. \end{aligned}$$

Combining the above, the estimates of all the terms involving g from Lemma 4.1 ($g = L_n(f)$), and the estimates (4.3.26), (4.3.27), (4.3.28), (4.3.29) of all the $\|L_n^*(\dots)\|$'s yields (4.3.18), (4.3.19). //

Given Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 it remains to discuss how close $L_n^*(g)$ is to a polynomial with non-negative k th derivative on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$.

THEOREM 4.3. *For each $k \geq 2$ there exists a constant D_k , such that for all $h \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ with k th forward difference non-negative on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$*

$$E_{n,k}(h) \leq D_k \omega_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(h, n^{-1}), \quad n = k, k+1, \dots$$

If in addition $h' \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$ then

$$E_{n,k}(h) \leq D_k n^{-1} \omega_{[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]}(h', n^{-1}), \quad n = k, k+1, \dots$$

Proof. Fix $k \geq 2$. Let $f = h - \rho$ where

$$\rho(x) = h(-\frac{1}{2}) + 2(h(\frac{1}{2}) - h(-\frac{1}{2}))(x + \frac{1}{2}).$$

Clearly $\omega(f, n^{-1}) \leq 2\omega(h, n^{-1})$ and when h' exists $\omega(f', n^{-1}) = \omega(h', n^{-1})$.

Lemmas 4.1, and 4.2 apply to f . Writing

$$\bar{L}_n(h) = \rho(x) + L_n^*(L_n(f))$$

Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 imply

$$\begin{aligned} \|h - \bar{L}_n(h)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} &= \|f - L_n^*(L_n(f))\| \\ &\leq \|f - L_n(f)\|_{\frac{1}{2}} + \|L_n(f) - L_n^*(L_n(f))\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq C_{22} \omega(h, n^{-1}), \quad h \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}], \\ &\leq C_{22} n^{-1} \omega(h', n^{-1}), \quad h' \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3.31)$$

Let $g = L_n(f)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{L}_n(h) &= \rho(x) + L_n^*(g) = \rho(x) + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g(t) \lambda_n(t-x) dt, \\ \bar{L}_n(h, x)' &= \rho'(x) + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g(t) \cdot -\lambda_n'(t-x) dt \\ &= \rho'(x) + [-g(t) \lambda_n(t-x)]_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g'(t) \lambda_n(t-x) dt. \end{aligned}$$

$k \geq 2$ alternate differentiations and integrations by parts yield;

$$\begin{aligned}
\bar{L}_n(h, x)^{(k)} &= (-1)^k \left[\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} (-1)^j \left[g^{(j)}(t) \lambda_n^{(k-1-j)}(t-x) \right]_{t=-\frac{1}{2}}^{t=\frac{1}{2}} \right] \\
&\quad + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{(k)}(t) \lambda_n(t-x) dt \\
&= r(x) + \int_{-\frac{1}{2}}^{\frac{1}{2}} g^{(k)}(t) \lambda_n(t-x) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

(4.3.4) and the positivity of the kernels imply the second term on the right hand side is non-negative. The estimates (4.3.5), (4.3.8); (4.3.16) imply

$$\|r\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{23} n^{-2k+1} \omega(h, n^{-1}), \quad h \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}],$$

and the estimates (4.3.5'), (4.3.8'); (4.3.16) imply

$$\|r\|_{\frac{1}{2}} \leq C_{24} n^{-2k+2} \omega(h', n^{-1}), \quad h' \in C[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$$

In the first case let

$$p_n(x) = \bar{L}_n(h, x) + \frac{x^k}{k!} C_{23} n^{-2k+1} \omega(h, n^{-1}),$$

and in the second let

$$p_n(x) = \bar{L}_n(h, x) + \frac{x^k}{k!} C_{24} n^{-2k+2} \omega(h', n^{-1}).$$

Then $p_n^{(k)}(x)$ is non-negative on $[-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}]$; and by (4.3.31) $p_n(x)$ provides the estimate of the theorem.

§4.4 A JACKSON TYPE ESTIMATE OF $E_{n,2}^*$

The argument, used in this section, is derived from the delightful proof of Jackson's theorem given by Passow [7]. Define $E_{n,2}^*(f)$ as in section 4.2.

THEOREM 4.4. *There exists a constant M , such that for any function f convex on $[-1, 1]$*

$$E_{n,2}^* \leq M \omega_{[-1,1]}(f, n^{-1}), \quad n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$$

Proof. Following [7] construct the polygonal pre-approximation $L(x)$ with : $L(k/n) = f(k/n)$, $k = -n, \dots, n$; and L linear in each of the intervals $[k/n, (k+1)/n]$. Then $|L(x) - f(x)| \leq \omega_{[-1,1]}(f, n^{-1})$ for all

$x \in [-1, 1]$ and L is convex with f . Let S_k be the slope of $L(x)$ in $((k-1)/n, k/n)$ and let

$$a_k = (-S_k + S_{k+1})/2, \quad -n+1 \leq k \leq n-1;$$

$$a_n = -(S_n + S_{-n+1})/2 = -a_{-n}.$$

Then

$$L(x) = A_1 + \sum_{k=-n+1}^n a_k |x - k/n| = A_1 + \int_{-1}^1 |x-t| dg_1(t); \quad (4.4.1)$$

where $g_1(t)$ is the step function having jumps at $x = k/n$ ($k = -n+1, \dots, n$) equal to a_k , $g(-1) = 0$, and A_1 a constant. Alternatively $L(x)$ may be expanded as

$$L(x) = A_2 + \sum_{k=-n}^{n-1} a_k |x - \frac{k}{n}| = A_2 + \int_{-1}^1 |x-t| dg_2(t); \quad (4.4.2)$$

where $g_2(t)$ is the step function having jumps at $x = \frac{k}{n}$ ($k = -n+1, \dots, n-1$) equal to a_k , $g(-1) = 0$, $g(x) = a_{-n}$ for $-1 < x < -1 + (1/n)$; and A_2 is a constant. These expansions are easily verified by calculating the slope of $\sum a_k |x - k/n|$ in each subinterval $((k-1)/n, k/n)$.

Since the slope of L is increasing, a_i will be non-negative for $i = -n+1, \dots, n-1$. Also a_n is either negative or non-negative; hence at least one of g_1, g_2 will be increasing. Let

$$L(x) = A + \int_{-1}^1 |x-t| dg(t) \quad (4.4.3)$$

be an expression (4.4.1), (4.4.2) with g_j increasing. Then

LEMMA 4.5. Let $q(x)$ be a polynomial of degree not exceeding n , convex on the whole real line, satisfying $q(0) = 0$ and

$$\int_{-2}^2 |d\{|x| - q(x)\}| \leq b/n.$$

Then

$$Q_n(x) = A + \int_{-1}^1 q(x-t) dg(t)$$

is a polynomial of degree not exceeding n , convex on the whole real line, satisfying

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |f(x) - Q_n(x)| \leq (2b+1) \omega_{[-1,1]}(f, n^{-1}).$$

Proof. The degree of the approximation follows exactly as in [7, Lemma 1]. The argument is repeated for completeness.

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x) - A - \int_{-1}^1 q(x-t) dg(t)| &\leq |f(x) - A - \int_{-1}^1 |x-t| dg(t)| \\ &\quad + \left| \int_{-1}^1 \{|x-t| - q(x-t)\} dg(t) \right| \\ &\leq \omega_{[-1,1]}(f, n^{-1}) + \left| \{|x-t| - q(x-t)\} g(t) \right|_{-1}^1 \\ &\quad - \int_{-1}^1 g(t) d\{|x-t| - q(x-t)\} \\ &\leq \omega_{[-1,1]}(f, n^{-1}) + |g(1)| \frac{b}{n} + \max_{-1 \leq t \leq 1} |g(t)| b/n. \end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |g(t)| &= \begin{cases} \max_{-n+1 \leq j \leq n} \left| \sum_{k=-n+1}^j a_k \right|, & \text{if } g = g_1, \\ \max_{-n \leq j \leq n-1} \left| \sum_{k=-n}^j a_k \right|, & \text{if } g = g_2, \end{cases} \\ &\leq \max_j |S_j| \leq n \omega_{[-1,1]}(f, n^{-1}). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\left| f(x) - A - \int_{-1}^1 q(x-t) dg(t) \right| \leq (2b+1) \omega_{[-1,1]}(f, n^{-1}).$$

The convexity of Q_n follows from the convexity of q and the monotonicity of g , since

$$Q_n''(x) = \int_{-1}^1 q''(x-t) dg(t). \quad //$$

LEMMA 4.6. *There exists a constant $c > 0$, and for each $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ a polynomial q_{4n-2} of degree $4n-2$, convex on the whole real line satisfying $q_{4n-2}(0) = 0$ and*

$$\int_{-2}^2 |d\{|x| - q_{4n-2}(x)\}| \leq c/n. \quad (4.4.4)$$

Proof. Let

$$\lambda_{4n-4}(t) = c_n (P_{2n}(t)/(t^2 - x_{1,2n}^2))^2$$

where P_{2n} is the Legendre polynomial of degree $2n$; $x_{1,2n}$ its smallest positive zero; and c_n is a normalizing constant chosen so that

$$\int_{-1}^1 \lambda_{4n-4}(t) dt = 1. \quad (4.4.5)$$

Then [3, pp174-176] λ_{4n-4} is an even, non-negative, algebraic polynomial of degree $4n-4$ such that

$$0 < \int_{-1}^1 \lambda_{4n-4}(t) t^2 dt \leq C_1/n^2 \quad (4.4.6)$$

for some constant C_1 , $n = 1, 2, \dots$. (4.4.5), (4.4.6) and the Schwartz inequality imply

$$0 < \int_{-1}^1 |t| \lambda_{4n-4}(t) dt \leq C_2/n. \quad (4.4.7)$$

Take as the approximation to $|x|$

$$q_{4n-2}(x) = \int_0^x \left(\int_0^u \lambda_{4n-4}(t/2) dt \right) du. \quad (4.4.8)$$

The non negativity of λ_{4n-4} implies the convexity of q_{4n-2} . Also ((4.4.8))

$$q_{4n-2}(0) = q'_{4n-2}(0) = 0; \quad (4.4.9)$$

using in addition the properties of λ_{4n-4}

$$\begin{aligned} -1 &= q'_{4n-2}(-2) \leq q'_{4n-2}(x) \leq 0, & -2 \leq x \leq 0, \\ 0 &\leq q'_{4n-2}(x) \leq q'_{4n-2}(2) = 1, & 0 \leq x \leq 2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.4.10)$$

From (4.4.9), (4.4.10) and the evenness of q_{4n-2} , it follows that ;

$|x| - q_{4n-2}(x)$ is monotone decreasing on $[-2, 0]$, monotone increasing on $[0, 2]$, with

$$\int_{-2}^2 |d\{|x| - q_{4n-2}(x)\}| = 2(2 - q_{4n-2}(2)). \quad (4.4.11)$$

Taylor's theorem implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 q_{4n-2}(x) &= q_{4n-2}(0) + xq'_{4n-2}(0) + \int_0^x q''_{4n-2}(u)(x-u) du \\
 &= \int_0^x \lambda_{4n-4}(u/2)(x-u) du .
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned}
 2 - q_{4n-2}(2) &= 2 - \int_0^2 \lambda_{4n-4}(u/2)(2-u) du \\
 &= 2 - 4 \int_0^1 \lambda_{4n-4}(t)(1-|t|) dt \\
 &= 2 \left(1 - \int_{-1}^1 \lambda_{4n-4}(t)(1-|t|) dt \right) \\
 &= 2 \int_{-1}^1 \lambda_{4n-4}(t)|t| dt ,
 \end{aligned}$$

by the evenness of λ_{4n-4} and (4.4.5). Now (4.4.7) implies

$$0 < 2 - q_{4n-2}(2) \leq 2C_2/n.$$

Substitute in (4.4.11) to obtain ;

$$\int_{-2}^2 |d\{|x| - q_{4n-2}(x)\}| \leq C/n ,$$

where $C = 4C_2$ does not depend on n ; as required. //

REFERENCES

1. R.K. BEATSON, The Degree of Monotone Approximation, to appear in *Pacific J. Mathematics*.
2. P.J. DAVIS, "Interpolation and Approximation", Blaisdell, N.Y., 1963.
3. R.A. DeVORE, "The Approximation of Continuous Functions by Positive Linear Operators", Lecture Notes in Mathematics #293, Springer, 1972.

4. R.A. DeVORE, Degree of Monotone Approximation, "Linear Operators and Approximation II", editors P.L. Butzer and B. Sz.-Nagy, Birkhauser Verlag, Stuttgart, 1974, 337-351.
5. G.G. LORENTZ and K.L. ZELLER, Degree of Approximation by Monotone Polynomials 1, *J. Approximation Theory* 1 (1968), 501-504.
6. G.G. LORENTZ, Monotone Approximation, "Inequalities III", ed. O. Shisha, Academic Press, N.Y., 1972, 201-215.
7. E. PASSOW, Another Proof of Jackson's Theorem, *J. Approximation Theory* 3 (1970), 146-148.
8. G. SZEGO, "Orthogonal Polynomials", Amer. Math. Soc. Coll. Publ. Vol XXIII, N.Y., 1959.

A new irregular series of
MATHEMATICAL RESEARCH REPORTS
which are
available on an exchange basis
from the address:

Research reports librarian,
Mathematics Department,
University of Canterbury,
Christchurch, NEW ZEALAND.

- No. 1 (November 1976) BRYANT, P.J.
Permanent wave structures on an open two layer fluid.
- No. 2 (December 1976) WEIR, G.J.
Conformal Killing tensors in reducible spaces.
- No. 3 (January 1977) WEIR, G.J. & KERR, R.P.
Diverging type-D metrics.
- No. 4 (February 1977) KERR, R.P. & WILSON, W.B.
Singularities in the Kerr-Schild metrics.
- No. 5 (May 1977) PETERSEN, G.M.
Algebras for matrix limitation.
- No. 6 (August 1977) GRAY, A.G.
Topological methods in second order arithmetic.
- No. 7 (September 1977) WAYLEN, P.C.
Green functions in the early universe.
- No. 8 (November 1977) BEATSON, R.K.
The asymptotic cost of Lagrange interpolatory
side conditions in the space $C(T)$.
- No. 9 (November 1977) BEATSON, R.K.
The degree of monotone approximation.